

- d. Conflict Review Officers
- e. Chief Hearing Officer
- 3. Appointments to WSBA Committees, Boards, and Panel
 - a. Adjunct Disciplinary Counsel Panel
 - b. Amicus Brief Committee
 - c. Board of Bar Examiners
 - d. Character and Fitness Board
 - e. Committee on Professional Ethics
 - f. Continuing Legal Education Committee
 - g. Court Rules and Procedures Committee
 - ~~h. Editorial Advisory Committee (pulled – will be put on July Agenda)~~
 - i. Judicial Recommendation Committee
 - j. Law Clerk Board
 - k. Lawyers’ Fund for Client Protection Board
 - l. Pro Bono and Legal Aid Committee
 - m. Washington Young Lawyers Committee
 - n. WSBA Diversity Committee
- e. Various WSBA Appointments and Nominations
 - 1. Appoint Records Request Appeals Officer (RRAO)
 - 2. Appoint Members to Council on Public Defense
 - 3. Appoint Member to WSBA Legislative Committee
- f. Various Non-WSBA Appointments and Nominations
 - 1. Civil Legal Aid Oversight Committee
 - 2. Commission on Judicial Conduct
 - 3. Statute Law Committee
 - ~~4. WSBA Delegates to the ABA House of Delegates (pulled – will be put on July Agenda)~~

CONTINUED DISCUSSIONS OF WSBA GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE – Vern Harkins, BOG Governance Work Group, Chair, and Michele Radosevich, BOG Governance Work Group, Member

Chair Harkins referred the Board to the information contained in the meeting materials and requested the Board’s input regarding whether public members on the Board should have voting rights. Responses included: public member insights are quite valuable, but they should not have voting rights since the Board votes on matters that affect the WSBA membership; anyone who is sitting on the Board, including public members, should have voting rights, especially in light of previously stated reasons for having public members on the Board. Chair Harkins then iterated the Governance Task Force recommendation regarding the State Bar Act. He noted that this item is a complex issue that requires careful thought and consideration, and he advised that the Work Group suggests the Board’s response be that the Board appreciates

the Task Force's recommendations, but finds it unnecessary to take action on this item at this time. President Gipe stated that it is the consensus of the Board to do so.

PROPOSED CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION (CLE) BUSINESS MODEL – Ken Masters, Treasurer; Megan McNally, Director of Advancement /Chief Development Officer; and Tiffany Lynch, Controller (phone)

Director McNally explained the process to determine the WSBA's CLE business model, beginning with the Board's generative discussion at its November 14, 2014, meeting, and continuing most recently at the Board's April 25, 2015, mini-retreat, that went into the models being proposed at this meeting. She reminded the Board that there are financial implications for each model, but that the decision on the final model should not be based on money alone. She reviewed the three policy choices before the Board, which include: (1) whether to keep, reduce, or expand current overall free and low-cost programming; (2) whether to continue to produce or to outsource WSBA-Section off-site conferences; and (3) whether to continue to subsidize WSBA-Section CLE programming or to recover the full costs of programming.

Discussion ensued regarding including the Achieving Inclusion series, free, in all choices; adding ethics seminars in the free or reduced fee category; cost-sharing and how to pay for indirect expenses when the overall portfolio of Section CLEs are running at a loss to WSBA; and how to support large offsite events such as midyears and conferences. Director McNally explained that proposed Model C1 is the closest to WSBA's current programming, but is further refined and results in a smaller footprint; proposed Model C2 contemplates that WSBA build a relationship with a vendor better suited to doing big conferences. She advised that proposed Model C2 would be more expensive in the short-term, but could be more favorable in the long-term as it would allow WSBA to develop more CLEs in-house with the Sections. She emphasized that subsidies are a different issue. Chief Communications Officer Carnes emphasized the critical component of branding around WSBA's quality professional seminars and noted that it is difficult to maintain the same quality when individual Sections produce their own seminars. General Counsel McElroy explained that the Sections are not autonomous entities separate from the WSBA; rather, they are part of the larger organization.