# WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Mandatory Malpractice Insurance Task Force

### <u>MMI TASK FORCE COMMENTS SNAPSHOT – UPDATED NOVEMBER 2018</u>

The Task Force has received 368 written comments from WSBA members raising a variety of concerns regarding a mandatory malpractice insurance requirement.<sup>1</sup> This Snapshot represents a best effort to categorize comments received by the Mandatory Malpractice Insurance (MMI) Task Force along common themes. Please note that the substance of many of the comments is subject to interpretation. The themes identified are as follows:

- Cost commentator expressed concern about costs;
- 2. Idea for exemption commentator suggested one or more specific exemptions;
- 3. Needs more information commentator needs more information;
- 4. Not engaged in private practice commentator not engaged in private practice;
- 5. Pro bono commentator noted possible impact of imposing malpractice insurance where practitioner primarily provides pro bono services
- 6. Public protection commentator raised issues of public protection;
- 7. Reputation of the profession commentator noted possible impact of imposing malpractice insurance on the public's perception of the profession;
- 8. Retired/semi-retired/retiring commentator noted possible impact of imposing malpractice insurance on retirees;
- 9. Uninsurable commentator indicated he or she is unable to obtain insurance; and
- 10. Other —comment could not easily be categorized, was an inquiry, or presented multiple issues for consideration.

## A. COMMENT THEMES DISTRIBUTION

Below is a chart of the distribution of themes. More than one category may apply to an individual comment, so the total distribution of themes is greater than the total number of comments received.

#### COMMENT THEME DISTRIBUTION

Соѕт	95
NEEDS MORE INFORMATION	7
NOT ENGAGED IN PRIVATE PRACTICE	53
RETIRED/SEMI-RETIRED/RETIRING	54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This total does not reflect five duplicate comments, which would bring the total comments to 373.




COMMENT THEME	DISTRIBUTION
IDEA FOR EXEMPTION	112
Uninsurable	9
PUBLIC PROTECTION	10
REPUTATION OF PROFESSION	2
PRO BONO	42
OTHER	113
TOTAL	497

CON 48 45 NIT THEN 45

For a detailed breakdown of which theme(s) applied to a given comment, please see Appendix A Table of Comments and Themes.


DISTRIBUTION



## B. COMMENTATOR POSITIONS ON MANDATORY MALPRACTICE INSURANCE

What follows is information regarding what position commentators appeared to take, if any. In many instances, the commentator's position was not indicated or was unclear. As with the distribution of themes, the position of a given commentator is subject to interpretation. Please see Appendix A for a detailed breakdown of positions among commentators.

POSITION	POSITION
	DISTRIBUTION
IN FAVOR	28
IN OPPOSITION	122
NOT INDICATED/UNCLEAR	218
TOTAL	368



## C. COMMENTATOR POSITIONS ALONG THEMES

What follows is breakdown of the position of commentators among the common themes. Where more than one theme applied to an individual comment, the position of a given commentator was counted twice.

