WSBA Legislative Review Committee
Meeting Minutes: October 3, 2019

Voting Members present (in person): Cameron Zangenehzadeh, Catherine Szurek, John Yip, Rachel Forde
Non-voting members present (in person): Ken Henrikson
Voting Members present (via teleconference): Edwin Norton, Debra Lefing, Chair Kyle Sciuchetti, Tim Spellman
Guests present (in person): Stephan Coonrod (CARC), Paul McVicker (ADR)
Guests present (via teleconference): Diane Lourdes Dick (CARC), Paul Firuz (RPPT)
Staff present: Sanjay Walvekar and Russell Johnson

SUMMARY OF ACTION TAKEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Discussed</th>
<th>Bill Number</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the CARC proposal for corporate board gender diversity meet GR 12.2 requirements?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>The CARC proposal for corporate board gender diversity meets GR 12.2 requirements</td>
<td>Vote was 7-1 in favor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the CARC proposal for revisions to RCW 23B meet GR 12.2 requirements?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>The CARC proposal for revisions to RCW 23B meets GR 12.2 requirements</td>
<td>Vote was unanimous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the ADR proposal for uniform family law arbitration act meet GR 12.2 requirements?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>The ADR proposal for uniform family law arbitration act meets GR 12.2 requirements</td>
<td>Vote was unanimous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greetings and introductions – Chair Kyle Sciuchetti at 1:02 pm

- The chair gave an overview of the history of the committee and its current status.
- The chair led a discussion in the possible cooperation/involvement between the Legislative Review Committee and the BOG Legislative.

CARC Proposal for corporate board gender diversity

- CARC representative Stephan Coonrod gave an overview to the committee on the purpose and role of CARC.
• Stephan Coonrod and Diane Lourdes Dick gave an overview to the committee on the legislative proposal.
• Concern expressed by the chair regarding gender diversity in governance of corporations as potentially not being GR12.2 compliant.
• Questions asked by the committee of the CARC representatives.
• CARC representatives are unaware of any opposition to the proposal.

Edwin Norton calls for a motion that the CARC proposal for board gender diversity satisfies GR 12.2.

Catherine Szurek seconds the motion.

Motion passes 7-1.

CARC will be asked to return for the 10/17 meeting to share any further stakeholder input.

CARC proposal for revisions to RCW 23B

• Stephan Coonrod led the committee in an overview of CARC’s legislative proposal.
• Questions asked by the committee of the CARC representatives.
• The committee decided to wait on voting on the bill until CARC representative Michael Hutchings can give an update on stakeholder input.

Edwin Norton calls for a motion that the CARC proposal for revisions to RCW 23B satisfies GR 12.2

John Yip seconds the motion.

Motion passes unanimously.

The CARC representative departed.

The chair expressed concern over the political nature of CARC’s proposal for gender diversity on corporate boards.

The chair led the committee in a further discussion on the present and future of committee.

• Sanjay shared with the committee a proposal that is in the works in the non-profit corporations committee of the Business Law Section. The proposed bill will overhaul the non-profit corporations act. It is expected that it will be on hold until next legislative session.
• The chair asked Sanjay to share the draft language of the non-profit bill to the committee members.

Committee break at 2:33 pm

Committee reconvened at 2:55 pm

ADR proposal for uniform family law arbitration act
• ADR representative gave the committee an overview of the proposed legislation.
• The committee asked the ADR representative questions regarding the legislation.

Edwin Norton calls for a motion that the ADR proposal for uniform family law arbitration act satisfies GR 12.2

Catherine Szurek seconds.

Passes unanimously.

The chair asked the ADR representative about stakeholder input and the current status of that feedback.

The chair asked the committee if there are other stakeholder organizations/groups that would be viable stakeholders to seek input from.

The chair would like the ADR representative to return for a follow-up meeting with the results of pending and future stakeholder input.

Committee break at 3:50 pm

Committee reconvened at 4:00 pm

Paul Firuz, the RPPT representative, called in to inform the committee that he is withdrawing RPPT’s legislative proposal and that they will likely come back next session. There was a misunderstanding between the section’s executive committee and the drafters of the legislation.

The chair adjourned the committee at 4:12