

WSBA Juvenile Law Section Special Meeting Agenda

Thursday, November 6, 2025

12:00pm - 1:00pm

Special Zoom Meeting Link

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89378398710?pwd=M94zOUQOYrcDOja3FD45mXh65Zict4.1>

Meeting ID: 893 7839 8710

Passcode: JLS

1) Attendance: Anastasia Clark, Lisa Melvin, Daria Spartan, Jim Richardson, Bailey Zydek, Itzel Gonzales, Jeff Adams, Aminat Sanusi, Marci Comeau, Kaelen Brodie, Carolyn MacGregor

2) Necessary Votes: Does EC want to take a position on the BOG comment rule change? Do we want to take individual role? JLS has not previously participated in BOG meetings for testimony. If we want to have a position during BOG discussion, we can send Kari our message or others to put forth our comment for us. Lisa-since we have kari, it sounds like we still have a route to comment. If 'public' wants to comment, that is us as section members. During topic discussion, governors do have ability to comment. In past, public could raise hand and comment. New president wants public to comment at front and only governors can talk during topic specific. Why change? Some frequent public attendees have sometimes gone more than once, so maybe that's why changes. But not made meetings run long so not detrimental to stay with the same rule. Will go back during next term anyway. BOG meeting in one week, JLS in two weeks. No motions made, now is the time.

3) Possible Projects: Open to add't ideas ongoing. What can we do over the next 12 months to add value to members? Subcom/volunteers.

i) Legal Opinions/Case Law updates? Would be new, but we have several presenters among us who could provide docs/names. AOC yearly case law update, fine sharing. JLS needs then juve/crim side updates. Compile resources we are allowed to share to support CLEs and interests of members of range of topics. Which resources do we want through year, post hyperlink. Can we post pdfs? Need WSBA rules. Kristin in

AAG office is working on CJC presentation. Can we take that? Where to post?

Member's portal on website? Do we send it out? Who wants to run this project? Help?

None at this time.

ii) JLS only newsletter? Other sections do that? Yes some of them. Digital. 5 sections use desktop publisher to outside maker using section content. We could use them too. Step 1 Consult with other section's newsletter person to see what is involved. Yearly, qrtly depends on capacity and who wants to run it. Needs a commitment to content creation. Cost dep pages #, 400-1200 pages an issue. Hrly charge from contractor publisher. Need to ask her for quote. Code for cost? Newsletter pub expense 58375. Take on this ongoing cost and commitment. Need to re-do budget allocations if we do it. Do we have capacity this year? Ongoing discussion how we launch this at monthly meetings b/c 12 meetings is not many.

iii) Summary notes from scholarship attendees? Do we still want to require? Yes, ideally because we want to find out how events are. Increase applications if people know which events were worth going to. Template? None at this time but more like a memo. Who gets them from attendee? Scholarship proposals, can we split that to committees? But do we need person with experience to continue so we don't lose it in term turnover? There is a script WSBA provided. It is a good bit of work for one person. Marci understands why Anastasia requests splitting the work. Nobody opposed.

iv) Resources of JLS (Washington Court Forms, Registry Information from different countries, links to ABA materials, statutes) - status? Would we collect ongoing or just as we come across links/things send to Noah for posting. Jim and Anastasia can send things to Noah if others find valuable resources. OCLA newsletter is useful. Can we post? Does opd have one? OCLA will get back to us if they can permiss. Kari could cut out parts that we can share if OCLA does not want to share all or as their official newsletter. Bailey says that sounds fair.

v) Mentor Match program? Maybe if law students reach out after presentations, we can think about this. Some other sections do this but

unsure how to execute or our section's ability to generate interest. Add to ongoing agenda.

- vi) Dependency or Juvenile Law Tool Kit/Benchbook: simple link to benchbook or benchbook chapters? Same as resources, just as we find them, send them along to Jim, Anastasia, or Noah directly. Per WSBA publishing rule? Jim, Anastasia, and Noah maybe meet to discuss how we'd do these new parts.
- vii) Family Law benchbook (discount) as possible member benefit? Same as above.
- viii) Membership Directory? Noah will post when he does revamp before end of year.
- iv) executive committee bios-out of date. Check yours and update. Write up, jim will send to Noah.

Action steps:

Continue discussing newsletter/mentor. Jim/Anastasia to meet Noah. start collecting resources if we see them.

Okay if we don't have time just now to volunteer but we should talk about them ongoing.

V) Jeff: RCW 11.130 updates, do we want to respond? Jeff and Marci were contacted regarding our desire to weigh in and are requesting an EC response? Senator Pedersen will likely move forward with Miriam's proposals. Agreed language changes, if conflict then possibly pull them. We have been identified as a group the legislature may want to hear from. Anastasia likes the proposals. Jeff suggests not to weigh in. WSBA suggests that commenting refers to communicating a position, such as by supporting, opposing, or raising concerns, on existing legislation or rulemaking pending before a policymaking body. Some members of the

EC have expressed concern with weighing in personally because we work for outside agencies. Marci no strong position. Kari nothing. Jeff moves to take no formal position as an EC. Second? Marci. Discussion? None opposed? None. Motion to take no position approved.

Anastasia: when to approve minutes? Next meeting.

Adjournment: 12:57.